UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,384	06/03/2005	Richard N Fargo	60469-220;OT-5079	4539
David J. Gaskey	7590 03/17/200 V	EXAMINER		
Carlson, Gaskey \$ Olds 400 w. maple rd suite 350 Birmingham, MI 48009			SINGH, KAVEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/537,384	FARGO ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
KAVEL P. SINGH	3651	
	-	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☒ Other: A new IDS was filed 2/24/09, therefore	eview is appropriate. re-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response continues to run for the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No.	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>KAVEL P. SINGH</u> .	(3) <u>Marc Jimenez /MJ/</u> .
(2)	(4)
/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	

Unit 3651